28

- (2) Defendants represented that a certain general contractor was withholding their payment for work performed, and that payment to Plaintiff Trust Funds was contingent in large part on receipt of those funds, although some additional amounts are due from Defendants.
- (3) Plaintiffs advised that they would file Stop Notices, and requested specific information from Defendants which would allow them to do so. Defendant neither provided the information, nor executed the proposed Stipulation for Entry of Judgment to establish his requested payment plan, for all amounts owed by defendant to date, to resolve the matter.
- (4) Plaintiffs nevertheless obtained the information needed and recently filed those Stop Notices, thereby securing the amounts owed from those jobs, to Plaintiffs.
- (5) Plaintiffs are now advised that Defendants' non-responsiveness has been due to Defendants' being out of town and out of cell phone range, on a logging job. Plaintiffs believe that Defendants will execute the Stipulation upon their return, credit will be stipulated to for any moneys received from those Stop Notices.
- (6) Upon receipt of the executed Stipulation, Plaintiffs will file an immediate dismissal without prejudice, with the court to retain jurisdiction in the event of defendants' default.
- (7) Plaintiffs therefore now request a 90-day Conditional Dismissal, to allow Defendants' return to communication range and execution of the Stipulation as anticipated. Plaintiffs further request that the Case Management Conference, currently scheduled for May 8, 2008, be vacated, along with the remaining case calendar.
- (8) In the event that the Stipulation is not executed as anticipated, Plaintiffs will move the court for entry of Default Judgment, the clerk's default having previously been entered in or about March, 2008.

I declare under penalty of perjury that I am the attorney for the Plaintiffs in the above entitled action, and that the foregoing is true of my own knowledge.

1	Executed this 6 th day of May, 2008, at San Francisco, California.
2	SALTZMAN & JOHNSON
3	LAW CORPORATION
4	By. /s/
5	By:/s/
6	Attorneys for Plaintiffs
7	
8	IT IS SO ORDERED.
9	Dated:
10	US District Court Judge
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

Case 3:07-cv-06460-WHA Document 19 Filed 05/06/2008 Page 3 of 4

P:\CLIENTS\OE3CL\Kickin Enterprises\Pleadings\C07-6460 WHA CMC 90-day Conditional Dismissal 050608.DOC

Document 19

Filed 05/06/2008

Page 4 of 4

Case 3:07-cv-06460-WHA